

# SIMULTANEOUS UNITARY EQUIVALENCE TO CARLEMAN OPERATORS WITH ARBITRARILY SMOOTH KERNELS

IGOR M. NOVITSKIĬ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we describe families of those bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space that are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to integral operators on  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$  with bounded and *arbitrarily* smooth Carleman kernels. The main result is a qualitative sharpening of an earlier result of [7].

## 1. INTRODUCTION. MAIN RESULT

Throughout,  $\mathcal{H}$  will denote a separable Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{H})$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the complex plane, the set of all positive integers, the set of all integers, respectively. For an operator  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $A^*$  will denote the Hilbert space adjoint of  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Throughout,  $C(X, B)$ , where  $B$  is a Banach space (with norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$ ), denote the Banach space (with the norm  $\|f\|_{C(X, B)} = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|_B$ ) of continuous  $B$ -valued functions defined on a locally compact space  $X$  and *vanishing at infinity* (that is, given any  $f \in C(X, B)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $X(\varepsilon, f) \subset X$  such that  $\|f(x)\|_B < \varepsilon$  whenever  $x \notin X(\varepsilon, f)$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the real line  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  with the Lebesgue measure, and let  $L_2 = L_2(\mathbb{R})$  be the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) measurable complex-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \overline{g(s)} ds$$

and the norm  $\|f\| = \langle f, f \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

A linear operator  $T : L_2 \rightarrow L_2$  is said to be *integral* if there exists a measurable function  $\mathbf{T}$  on the Cartesian product  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , a *kernel*, such that, for every  $f \in L_2$ ,

$$(Tf)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{T}(s, t) f(t) dt$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B38, 47G10; Secondary 45P05.

*Key words and phrases.* Integral linear operator, Carleman operator, Hilbert-Schmidt operator, Carleman kernel, essential spectrum, Lemarié-Meyer wavelet.

Research supported in part by grant N 03-1-0-01-009 from the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. This paper was written in November 2003, when the author enjoyed the hospitality of the Mathematical Institute of Friedrich-Schiller-University, Jena, Germany.

for almost every  $s$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . A kernel  $\mathbf{T}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is said to be *Carleman* if  $\mathbf{T}(s, \cdot) \in L_2$  for almost every fixed  $s$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . An integral operator with a kernel  $\mathbf{T}$  is called *Carleman* if  $\mathbf{T}$  is a Carleman kernel. Every Carleman kernel,  $\mathbf{T}$ , induces a *Carleman function*  $\mathbf{t}$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $L_2$  by  $\mathbf{t}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{T}(s, \cdot)}$  for all  $s$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  for which  $\mathbf{T}(s, \cdot) \in L_2$ .

The integral representability problem for linear operators stems from the work [10] of von Neumann, and is now well enough understood. The problem involves the question: which operators are unitarily equivalent to an integral operator? Now we recall a characterization of Carleman representable operators to within unitary equivalence [5, p. 99], [3, Section 15]:

**Proposition 1.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that an operator  $S \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{H})$  be unitarily equivalent to an integral operator with Carleman kernel is that there exist an orthonormal sequence  $\{e_n\}$  such that*

$$\|S^* e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(or, equivalently, that 0 belong to the right essential spectrum of  $S$ ).

Given any non-negative integer  $m$ , we impose on a Carleman kernel  $\mathbf{K}$  the following smoothness conditions:

- (i) the function  $\mathbf{K}$  and all its partial derivatives on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  up to order  $m$  are in  $C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ ,
- (ii) the Carleman function  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\mathbf{k}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{K}(s, \cdot)}$ , and all its (strong) derivatives on  $\mathbb{R}$  up to order  $m$  are in  $C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$ .

**Definition 1.** A function  $\mathbf{K}$  that satisfies Conditions (i), (ii) is called a  *$SK^m$ -kernel* [7].

Now we are in a position to formulate our result on simultaneous integral representability of operator families by  $SK^m$ -kernels.

**Proposition 2** ([7]). *If for a countable family  $\{B_r \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{H})$  there exists an orthonormal sequence  $\{e_n\}$  such that*

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r^* e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then for each fixed non-negative integer  $m$  there exists a unitary operator  $U_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$  such that all the operators  $U_m B_r U_m^{-1}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are bounded Carleman operators having  $SK^m$ -kernels.*

In [7], there is a counterexample which shows that Proposition 2 may fail to be true if the family  $\{B_r\}$  is not countable.

The purpose of this paper is to restrict the conclusion of Proposition 2 to *arbitrarily smooth* Carleman kernels. Now define these kernels.

**Definition 2.** We say that a function  $\mathbf{K}$  is a  *$SK^\infty$ -kernel* ([8], [9]) if it is a  $SK^m$ -kernel for each non-negative integer  $m$ .

**Theorem.** *If for a countable family  $\{B_r \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{H})$  there exists an orthonormal sequence  $\{v_n\}$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r^* v_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then there exists a unitary operator  $U_\infty : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$  such that all the operators  $U_\infty B_r U_\infty^{-1}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are Carleman operators having  $SK^\infty$ -kernels.*

This theorem, which is our main result, will be proved in the next section of the present paper. The proof yields an explicit construction of the unitary operator  $U_\infty : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$ . The construction of  $U_\infty$  is independent of those spectral points of  $B_r$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) that are different from 0, and is defined by  $U_\infty f_n = u_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where  $\{f_n\}$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  are orthonormal bases in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $L_2$ , respectively, whose elements can be explicitly described in terms of the operator family.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM

The proof has two steps.

**Step 1.** Assume that

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r\| \leq 1.$$

This is a harmless assumption, involving no loss of generality; just replace  $B_r$  with  $\|B_r\| > 1$  by  $\frac{B_r}{\|B_r\|}$ . Find a subsequence  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of the sequence  $\{v_n\}$  in (1) so that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_k \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} &\leq \sum_k \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|r S_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= \sum_k \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} = M < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_r = \frac{1}{r} B_r$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) (the sum notation  $\sum_k$  will always be used instead of the more detailed symbol  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty$ ). For each  $r$ , let

$$(3) \quad Q_r = (1 - E)S_r, \quad J_r = S_r^* E,$$

where  $E$  is the orthogonal projection onto the closed linear span  $H$  of the  $e_k$ 's, and observe that

$$(4) \quad S_r = Q_r + J_r^*.$$

Assume, with no loss of generality, that  $\dim(1 - E)H = \infty$ , and let  $\{e_k^\perp\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be any orthonormal basis for  $(1 - E)H$ . Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  denote any basis in  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of the elements of the set  $\{e_k\} \cup \{e_k^\perp\}$ . It follows from (2) that

$$\sum_n \|J_r f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_k \|J_r e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sum_k \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M^4,$$

and hence that  $J_r$  and  $J_r^*$  are Hilbert–Schmidt operators, for each  $r$ .

For each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , let

$$(5) \quad d(h) = \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|J_r h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|J_r^* h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Gamma_r h\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where, for each  $r$ ,

$$(6) \quad \Gamma_r = \Lambda S_r, \text{ and } \Lambda = \sum_k \frac{1}{k} \langle \cdot, e_k^\perp \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e_k^\perp.$$

It is clear that  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma_r$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Prove that

$$(7) \quad d(e_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using known facts about Hilbert-Schmidt operators (see [2, Chapter III]), write the following relations

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_r \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|J_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\leq \sum_r \sum_k \|J_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \sum_r |J_r^*|_2^2 = \sum_r |J_r|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_r \sum_k \|J_r e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_r \sum_k \|S_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_r \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_k \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|r S_r^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \frac{M^8 \pi^2}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\cdot|_2$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Observe also that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_k \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Gamma_r e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\leq \sum_r \sum_k \|\Gamma_r e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_r |\Gamma_r|_2^2 = \sum_r |\Gamma_r^*|_2^2 = \sum_r \sum_n \|S_r^* \Lambda f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_r \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_k \|\Lambda e_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_r \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_k \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^4}{36}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (7) follows immediately from (8), (9), (2), and (3).

*Notation.* If an equivalence class  $f \in L_2$  contains a function belonging to  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , then we shall use  $[f]$  to denote that function.

Take any orthonormal basis  $\{u_n\}$  for  $L_2$  which satisfies conditions:

- (a) the terms of the derivative sequence  $\{[u_n]^{(i)}\}$  are in  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , for each  $i$  (here and throughout, the letter  $i$  is reserved for all non-negative integers),
- (b)  $\{u_n\} = \{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , where  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \cap \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \emptyset$ , and, for each  $i$ ,

$$(10) \quad \sum_k H_{k,i} < \infty \quad \text{with } H_{k,i} = \|[h_k]^{(i)}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

(c) there exist a subsequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \{e_k\}$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n(k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of positive integers such that, for each  $i$ ,

$$(11) \quad \sum_k d(x_k) (G_{k,i} + 1) < \infty \quad \text{with } G_{k,i} = \|[g_k]^{(i)}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(12) \quad \sum_k k H_{n(k),i} < \infty.$$

*Remark.* Let  $\{u_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $L_2$  such that, for each  $i$ ,

$$(13) \quad [u_n]^{(i)} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(14) \quad \|[u_n]^{(i)}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \leq D_n A_i \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(15) \quad \sum_k D_{n_k} < \infty,$$

where  $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  are sequences of positive numbers, and  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a subsequence of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a countable set. By (7), the basis  $\{u_n\}$  satisfies Conditions (a)-(c) with  $h_k = u_{n_k}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{u_n\} \setminus \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ .

To show the existence of a basis  $\{u_n\}$  satisfying (13)-(15), consider a Lemarié-Meyer wavelet,

$$u(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi(\frac{1}{2}+s)} \text{sign } \xi b(|\xi|) d\xi \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}),$$

with the bell function  $b$  belonging to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (for construction of the Lemarié-Meyer wavelets we refer to [6], [1, § 4], [4, Example D, p. 62]). In this case,  $u$  belongs to the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , and hence all the derivatives  $[u]^{(i)}$  are in  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ . The “mother function”  $u$  generates an orthonormal basis for  $L_2$  by

$$u_{jk}(s) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}} u(2^j s - k) \quad (j, k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Rearrange, in a completely arbitrary manner, the orthonormal set  $\{u_{jk}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  into a simple sequence, so that it becomes  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since, in view of this rearrangement, to each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there corresponds a unique pair of integers  $j_n, k_n$ , and conversely, we can write, for each  $i$ ,

$$\|[u_n]^{(i)}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} = \|[u_{j_n k_n}]^{(i)}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \leq D_n A_i,$$

where

$$D_n = \begin{cases} 2^{j_n^2} & \text{if } j_n > 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|j_n|} & \text{if } j_n \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad A_i = 2^{(i+\frac{1}{2})^2} \|[u]^{(i)}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})}.$$

Whence it follows that if  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a subsequence such that  $j_{n_k} \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\sum_k D_{n_k} < \infty.$$

Thus, the basis  $\{u_n\}$  satisfies Conditions (13)-(15).

Let us return to the proof. Let  $\{x_k^\perp\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{e_k^\perp\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup (\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \setminus \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$ , and observe that  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{x_k^\perp\}_{k=1}^\infty$ .

Now construct a candidate for the desired unitary operator in the theorem. Define a unitary operator  $U_\infty : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$  on the basis vectors by setting

$$(16) \quad U_\infty x_k^\perp = h_k, \quad U_\infty x_k = g_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

in the harmless assumption that, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(17) \quad U_\infty f_k = u_k, \quad U_\infty e_k^\perp = h_{n(k)},$$

where  $\{n(k)\}$  is just that sequence which occurs in Condition (c).

**Step 2.** The verification that  $U_\infty$  in (16) has the desired properties is straightforward. Fix an arbitrary  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and put  $T = U_\infty S_r U_\infty^{-1}$ . Once this is done, the index  $r$  may be omitted for  $S_r, J_r, Q_r, \Gamma_r$ .

Write the Schmidt decomposition

$$J = \sum_n s_n \langle \cdot, p_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} q_n,$$

where the  $s_n$  are the singular values of  $J$  (eigenvalues of  $(JJ^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ),  $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}$  are orthonormal sets (the  $p_n$  are eigenvectors for  $J^*J$  and the  $q_n$  are eigenvectors for  $JJ^*$ ).

Introduce an auxiliary operator  $A$  by

$$(18) \quad A = \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \cdot, p_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} q_n,$$

and observe that, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Af\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|(J^*J)^{\frac{1}{8}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|Jf\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\ \|A^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|(JJ^*)^{\frac{1}{8}} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|J^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

if  $\|f\| = 1$ .

Since  $\{e_k^\perp\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis for  $(1 - E)H$ , (3) implies that

$$Q = \sum_k \langle \cdot, S^* e_k^\perp \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e_k^\perp.$$

Whence, using (17), one can write

$$(20) \quad Pf = \sum_k \langle f, T^* h_{n(k)} \rangle h_{n(k)} \quad (f \in L_2)$$

where  $P = U_\infty Q U_\infty^{-1}$ . By (6),

$$(21) \quad T^* h_{n(k)} = \sum_n \langle S^* e_k^\perp, f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n = k \sum_n \langle e_k^\perp, \Gamma f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Prove that, for any fixed  $i$ , the series

$$\sum_n \langle e_k^\perp, \Gamma f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

converge in the norm of  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ . Indeed, all these series are pointwise dominated on  $\mathbb{R}$  by one series

$$\sum_n \|\Gamma f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left| [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \right|,$$

which converges uniformly in  $\mathbb{R}$  because its component subseries

$$\sum_k \|\Gamma x_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left| [g_k]^{(i)}(s) \right|, \quad \sum_k \|\Gamma x_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left| [h_k]^{(i)}(s) \right|$$

are in turn dominated by the convergent series

$$\sum_k d(x_k) G_{k,i}, \quad \sum_k \|\Gamma\| H_{k,i},$$

respectively (see (16), (5), (11), (10)). Whence it follows via (21) that, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(22) \quad \left\| [T^* h_{n(k)}]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \leq C_i k,$$

with a constant  $C_i$  independent of  $k$ . Consider functions  $\mathbf{P} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{p} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_2$ , defined, for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(s, t) &= \sum_k [h_{n(k)}]^{(i)}(s) \overline{[T^* h_{n(k)}]^{(j)}(t)}, \\ \mathbf{p}(s) &= \overline{\mathbf{P}(s, \cdot)} = \sum_k \overline{[h_{n(k)}]^{(i)}(s)} T^* h_{n(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

The termwise differentiation theorem implies that, for each  $i$  and each integer  $j \in [0, +\infty)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{i+j} \mathbf{P}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j}(s, t) &= \sum_k [h_{n(k)}]^{(i)}(s) \overline{[T^* h_{n(k)}]^{(j)}(t)}, \\ \frac{d^i \mathbf{p}}{ds^i}(s) &= \sum_k \overline{[h_{n(k)}]^{(i)}(s)} T^* h_{n(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

since, by (22) and (12), the series displayed converge (absolutely) in  $C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$ , respectively. Thus,  $\frac{\partial^{i+j} \mathbf{P}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j} \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\frac{d^i \mathbf{p}}{ds^i} \in C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$ . Observe also that, by (12) and (23), the series (20) (viewed, of course, as one with terms belonging to  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ ) converges (absolutely) in  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ -norm to the function

$$[Pf](s) \equiv \langle f, \mathbf{p}(s) \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{P}(s, t) f(t) dt.$$

Thus,  $P$  is an integral operator, and  $\mathbf{P}$  is its  $SK^\infty$ -kernel.

Since  $\|S^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|J e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  for all  $k$  (see (3)), from (2) it follows via (19) that the operator  $A$  defined in (18) is nuclear, and hence

$$(24) \quad \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Then, according to (18), a kernel which induces the nuclear operator  $F = U_\infty J^* U_\infty^{-1}$  can be represented by the series

$$(25) \quad \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} U_\infty A^* q_n(s) \overline{U_\infty A p_n(t)}$$

convergent almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The functions used in this bilinear expansion can be written as the series convergent in  $L_2$ :

$$U_\infty A p_k = \sum_n \langle p_k, A^* f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n, \quad U_\infty A^* q_k = \sum_n \langle q_k, A f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Show that, for any fixed  $i$ , the functions  $[U_\infty A p_k]^{(i)}$ ,  $[U_\infty A^* q_k]^{(i)}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) make sense, are all in  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , and their  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ -norms are bounded independent of  $k$ . Indeed, all the series

$$\sum_n \langle p_k, A^* f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} [u_n]^{(i)}(s), \quad \sum_n \langle q_k, A f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

are dominated by one series

$$\sum_n (\|A^* f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|A f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}) |[u_n]^{(i)}(s)|.$$

This series converges uniformly in  $\mathbb{R}$ , since it consists of two uniformly convergent in  $\mathbb{R}$  subseries

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k (\|A^* x_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|A x_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}) |[g_k]^{(i)}(s)|, \\ & \sum_k (\|A^* x_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|A x_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}}) |[h_k]^{(i)}(s)|, \end{aligned}$$

which are dominated by the following convergent series

$$\sum_k d(x_k) G_{k,i}, \quad \sum_k 2\|A\| H_{k,i},$$

respectively (see (5), (19), (11), (10)). Thus, for functions  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_2$ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(s, t) &= \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} [U_\infty A^* q_n](s) \overline{[U_\infty A p_n](t)}, \\ \mathbf{f}(s) &= \overline{\mathbf{F}(s, \cdot)} = \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{[U_\infty A^* q_n](s)} U_\infty A p_n, \end{aligned}$$

one can write, for all non-negative integers  $i, j$  and all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{i+j} \mathbf{F}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j}(s, t) &= \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} [U_\infty A^* q_n]^{(i)}(s) \overline{[U_\infty A p_n]^{(j)}(t)}, \\ \frac{d^i \mathbf{f}}{ds^i}(s) &= \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{[U_\infty A^* q_n]^{(i)}(s)} U_\infty A p_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the series converge in  $C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$ , respectively, because of (24). This implies that  $\mathbf{F}$  is a  $SK^\infty$ -kernel of  $F$ .



In accordance with (4), we have, for each  $f \in L_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(Tf)(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{P}(s, t)f(t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{F}(s, t)f(t) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{P}(s, t) + \mathbf{F}(s, t))f(t) dt\end{aligned}$$

for almost every  $s$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $T$  is a Carleman operator, and that kernel  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $T$ , which is defined by  $\mathbf{K}(s, t) = \mathbf{P}(s, t) + \mathbf{F}(s, t)$  ( $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ), inherits the  $SK^\infty$ -kernel properties from its terms. Consequently,  $\mathbf{K}$  is a  $SK^\infty$ -kernel of  $T$ .

Since scalar factors do not alter the relevant smoothness conditions, the Carleman operators  $U_\infty B_r U_\infty^{-1} = r U_\infty S_r U_\infty^{-1}$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ) have  $SK^\infty$ -kernels as well. The proof of the theorem is complete.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the Mathematical Institute of the University of Jena for its hospitality, and specially W. Sickel and H.-J. Schmeißer for useful remarks and fruitful discussion on applying wavelets in integral representation theory.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Auscher, G. Weiss, M. V. Wickerhauser, *Local sine and cosine bases of Coifman and Meyer and the construction of smooth wavelets*, Wavelets, 237–256, Wavelet Anal. Appl., 2, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1992.
2. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space* (Nauka, Moscow, 1965; American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969).
3. P. Halmos and V. Sunder, *Bounded integral operators on  $L^2$  spaces* (Springer, Berlin, 1978).
4. E. Hernández, G. Weiss, *A first course on wavelets*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.
5. V. B. Korotkov, *Integral operators* (in Russian, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1983).
6. P. G. Lemarié, Y. Meyer, *Ondelettes et bases hilbertiennes*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 2 (1986), no. 1-2, 1–18.
7. I. M. Novitskiĭ, *A note on integral representations of linear operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory (1) 35 (1999) 93–104.
8. ———, *Integral representations of unbounded operators*, Jenaer Schriften zur Mathematik und Informatik, Math/Inf/14/01, p. 1-8, Universität Jena, Germany, 2001.
9. ———, *Integral representations of unbounded operators by arbitrarily smooth Carleman kernels*, preprint (2002). arXiv:math.SP/0210186
10. J. von Neumann, *Charakterisierung des Spektrums eines Integraloperators* (Hermann, Paris, 1935).

INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 92,  
ZAPARINA STREET, Khabarovsk 680 000, RUSSIA  
E-mail address: novim@iam.khv.ru